



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/936,795	02/19/2002	Robert Bridges	BOUL/0007	1519
7590 05/19/2004		EXAMINER		
William B Patterson			HOOLAHAN, AMANDA J	
Moser Patterson & Sheridan			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Suite 1500 3040 Post Oak Boulevard				TATER NOMBER
Houston, TX 77056			2859	
110uston, 17: 77050		DATE MAILED: 05/19/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/936,795	BRIDGES, ROBERT		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Amanda J Hoolahan	2859		

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondenc address --

THE REPLY FILED 29 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a

final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Requestion (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, wherever, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriative been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriation of the final CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office act (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if time earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. See MPEP ropriate extension fee iate extension fee under ion; or (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.	in
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE bel	ow);
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducin issues for appeal; and/or	g or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected	I claims.
NOTE:	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 103(a) rejection of claims 31 and 32.	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timel canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	y filed amendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but do application in condition for allowance because:	es NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ch were newly
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed: 33-35.	
Claim(s) objected to: 31 and 32.	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-24 and 26-28</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
10. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>	
Diego Gutierrez Supervisory Patent Examiner	,
S. Patent and Trademark Office	

Continuation of 10. Other: With respect to claims 1-24 and 26-28: The argument is not persuasive because the device disclosed by Applicant is only differentiated from Jones by the manner in which it is intended to be employed. This is only considered to be the intended use of the invention as stated in paragraph 4 of the Final Rejection.